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The African writer who emerged after the Second World War has gone through three decisive decades which also mark three nodal stages in his growth. He has gone, as it were, through three ages within only the last thirty years or so: the age of the anticolonial struggle; the age of independence; and the age of neocolonialism.

THE AGE OF ANTICOLONIAL STRUGGLE

First was the fifties, the decade of the high noon of the African people’s anticolonial struggles for full independence. The decade was heralded, internationally, by the triumph of the Chinese Revolution in 1949 and by the independence of India about the same time. It was the decade of the Korean revolution; the Vietnamese defeat of the French at Dien Bien Phu; the Cuban people’s ouster of Batista; the stirrings of heroic independence and liberation movements in Asia, the Caribbean and Latin America. In Africa the decade saw the Nasserite national assertion in Egypt culminating in the triumphant nationalization of the Suez Canal; armed struggles by the Kenya Land and Freedom Army, Mau Mau, against British colonialism and by FLN against French colonialism in Algeria; intensified resistance against the South African Apartheid regime, a resistance it responded to with the Sharpeville massacre; and what marks the decade in the popular imagination, the independence of Ghana in 1957 and of Nigeria in 1960 with the promise of more to follow. In Europe, the immediate postwar decades, particularly the Fifties, saw consolidation of socialist gains in Eastern Europe; and important social-democratic gains in the west; in USA, the Fifties saw an upsurge of civil rights struggles spearheaded by Afro-American people.
It was, in other words, the decade of tremendous anti-imperialist and anticolonial revolutionary upheavals occasioned by the forcible intervention of the masses in history. It was a decade of hope, the people looking forward to a bright morrow in a new Africa finally freed from colonialism. Kwame Nkrumah was the single most important theoretician and spokesman of this decade. *Towards Colonial Freedom:* that was in fact the title of the book Kwame Nkrumah had published at the beginning of the Fifties. How sweet it must have sounded in the ears of all those who dreamt about a new tomorrow! His Ghana became the revolutionary Mecca of the entire anti-colonial movement in Africa. Hutchison, a South African nationalist, captured Ghana's centrality to the era when he called his book - itself an account of his life and his escape from South Africa - simply, *Road to Ghana.* All the continent’s nationalist roads of the Fifties led to Kwame Nkrumah’s Ghana. Everywhere on the continent, the former colonial slave was breaking his chains, and singing songs of hope for a more egalitarian society in its economic, political and cultural life and Nkrumah’s Ghana seemed to hold the torch to that life!

The African writer we are talking about was born on the crest of this anticolonial upheaval and worldwide revolutionary ferment. The anti-imperialist energy and optimism of the masses found its way into the writing of the period. The very fact of his birth was itself evidence of this new assertive Africa. The writing itself, whether in poetry, drama or fiction, even where it was explanatory in intention, was assertive in tone. It was Africa explaining itself, speaking for itself, and interpreting its past. It was an Africa rejecting the images of its past as drawn by the artists of imperialism. The writer even flaunted his right to use the language of the former colonial master anyway he liked. No apologies. No begging. The Caliban of the colonial world
had been given European languages and he was going to use them even to subvert the master.

There is a kind of self-assuredness, a confidence, if you like, in the scope and mastery of material in some of the best and most representative products of the period: Chinua Achebe’s *Things Fall Apart*, Wole Soyinka’s *A Dance of the Forests*, Camara Laye’s *The African Child*, and Sembene Ousmane’s *God’s Bits of Wood*. The decade, in politics and in literature, was however best summed up in the very title of Peter Abraham’s autobiography, *Tell Freedom*, while the optimism is all there in David Diop’s poem “Africa”. After evoking an Africa of freedom lost as well as the Africa of the current colonialism, he looks to the future with unqualified, total confidence:

Africa tell me Africa
Is this you this back that is bent
This back that breaks under the weight of humiliation
This back trembling with red scars
And saying yes to the whip under the midday sun
But a grave voice answers me
Impetuous son that tree young and strong
That tree there
In splended loneliness amidst white and faded flowers
That is Africa your Africa
That grows again patiently obstinately
And its fruit gradually acquires
The bitter taste of liberty.

Here the writer and his work were part of the African revolution. Both the writer and his work were products of the revolution even as the writer and the literature tried to understand, reflect, and interpret that revolution. The promptings of his imagination sprung from the fountain of the African anti-imperialist, anticolonial movement of the
Forties and Fifties. From every tongue came the same tune: Tell Freedom.

But very often the writer who sang Tell Freedom in tune and time with the deepest aspirations of his society did not always understand the true dimensions of those aspirations, or rather he did not always adequately evaluate the real enemy of these aspirations. Imperialism was far too easily seen in terms of the skin pigmentation of the colonizer. It is not surprising of course that such an equation should have been made since racism and the tight caste system in colonialism had ensured that social rewards and punishments were carefully structured on the mystique of colour. *Labour* was not just *labour* but *black labour: capital* was not just *capital* but *white-owned capital*. Exploitation and its necessary consequence, oppression, were black. The vocabulary by which the conflict between colonial labour and imperialist capital was perceived and ideologically fought out consisted of white and black images, sometimes freely interchangeable with the terms “European” and “African”. The sentence or phrase was “... *when the whiteman came to Africa ...*” and not “... *when the imperialist, or the colonialist, came to Africa ...*”, or “... *one day these whites will go ...*” and not “... *one day imperialism, or these imperialists, will go ...*”! Except in a few cases, what was being celebrated in the writing was the departure of the whiteman with the implied hope that the incoming blackman by virtue of his blackness would right the wrongs and heal the wounds of centuries of slavery and colonialism. Were there classes in Africa? No! cried the nationalist politician, and the writer seemed to echo him. The writer could not see the class forces born but stunted in a racially demarcated Africa.

As a result of this reductionism to the polarities of colour and race, the struggle of African people against European
colonialism was seen in terms of a conflict of values between the African and the European ways of perceiving and reacting to reality. But which African values? Which European values? Which Black values? Which White values? The values of the European proletariat and of the African proletariat? Of the European imperialist bourgeoisie and of the collaborationist African petty bourgeoisie? The values of the African peasant and those of the European peasant? An undifferentiated uniformity of European, or white, values was posited against an equally undifferentiated uniformity of African, or black, values.

This uniformity of African values was often captured in the realm of political parlance by the grandiloquent phrase, African socialism. The phrase was to be given even greater intellectual sophistication by Julius Nyerere (whose personal integrity has never been in any doubt!) when in his famous paper on *Ujamaa: the basis of African socialism* he defined socialism as an attitude of mind. A millionaire (while remaining a millionaire I presume) could be a socialist, and a worker (while remaining a worker) could be a capitalist. Socialism (and therefore its opposite, imperialist capitalism) was reduced to a matter of beliefs, moral absolutes, and not that of a historically changing economic, political and cultural practice. Values without the economic, political and cultural practice that gives rise to them even as they in turn reflect that practice were seen as racially inherent in a people.

In short the writer and the literature he produced did not often take and hence treat imperialism and the class forces it generated as an integrated economic, political and cultural system whose negation and the class struggles this generated had also to be an integrated economic, political and cultural system of its opposite: national independence, democracy and socialism.
And so the writer, armed with an inadequate grasp of the extent, the nature and the power of the enemy and of all the class forces at work could only be shocked by the broken promises as his society entered the second decade.

THE AGE OF INDEPENDENCE

The beginning of the sixties saw an acceleration of the independence movements. Tanzania, Uganda, Zaire, Kenya, Zambia, Malawi, Congo (Brazzaville), Senegal, Ivory Coast, Mali: country after country won the right to fly a national flag and to sing a national anthem. At the end of the sixties only a few smudges on the map represented old colonies. The OAU was the symbol of the new age, or rather it was the promise of greater unity to come. But if the sixties was the decade of African independence, it was also the decade when old style imperialism tried to halt the momentum of the anticolonial struggles and the successes of the fifties. Old style imperialism tried to make a last stand. Thus Portuguese colonialism clung tenaciously to Angola, Guinea-Bissau and Mozambique. In Zimbabwe Ian Smith and his Rhodesian Front, with the active covert and overt encouragement of the big imperialist bourgeoisie, tried to create a second South Africa by means of an American sounding Unilateral Declaration of Independence (UDI). Internationally - that is, outside of Africa - this last stand of old style imperialism was represented by the USA in South Vietnam. But US domination of South Vietnam also represented new style imperialism - that is US-led imperialism ruling through puppet regimes. Thus in Vietnam lay a clue as to what was happening to the Africa of the sixties, happening that is, to its independence from classical colonialism.

New style imperialism was dependent on the ‘maturing’ of a class of natives, already conceived and born by colonialism, whose positions and aspirations as a group were not in any
fundamental conflict with the money juggling classes, the financial gnomes of the real centres of power like Zurich, The City and Wall Street. There is a Kikuyu word, Nyabaara, derived from the Kiswahili Mnyapala which adequately describes these mediators between the imperialist bourgeoisie and the mass of workers and peasants in the former colonies. George Lamming in his novel, *In the castle of my skin*, had called it an overseer class. The Boer racist South African regime not to be outdone was to caricature the new process when they too went ahead to create their own bantustans. Bantustanism! How innovative the boers are! But in a sense, how true!

To the majority of African people in the new states, independence did not bring about fundamental changes. It was independence with the ruler holding a begging bowl and the ruled holding a shrinking belly. It was independence with a question mark. The age of independence had produced a new class and a new leadership that often was not very different from the old one. Black skins, white masks? White skins, black masks? Black skins concealing colonial settlers’ hearts? In each of the African languages there was an attempt to explain the new phenomenon in terms of the ‘White’ and ‘Black’ symbols by which colonialism had been seen and fought out. But really, this was a new company, a company of African profiteers firmly deriving their character, power and inspiration from their guardianship of imperialist interests.

It was Franz Fanon in his book *Les Damnés de la terre*, first published in French in 1961 and later (1965) in English under the title *The Wretched of the Earth*, who prophetically summed up the character of this emergent phenomenon. The class that took over power after independence was an underdeveloped middleclass which was not interested in
putting the national economy on a new footing, but in becoming an intermediary between Western interests and the people, a handsomely paid business agent of the Western bourgeoisie:

Before independence, the leader generally embodies the aspirations of the people for independence, political liberty and national dignity. But as soon as independence is declared, far from embodying in concrete form the needs of the people in what touches bread, land and the restoration of the country to the sacred hands of the people, the leader will reveal his inner purpose: to become the general president of that company of profiteers impatient for their returns which constitutes the national bourgeoisie.

I have always argued that literature written by Africans, and particularly the literature of this period, cannot really be understood without a proper and thorough reading of the chapter “Pitfalls of National Consciousness” in Fanon’s The Wretched of the Earth. The literature of this period was really a series of imaginative footnotes to Frantz Fanon.

The new regimes in the independent states increasingly came under the pressure from external and internal sources. The external pressure emanated from the West who wanted these states to maintain their independence and nonalignment firmly on the side of Western economic and political interests. Where a regime showed a consistent desire to break away from the Western orbit, destabilization through economic sabotage and political intrigue was set in motion. The US role in bringing down Lumumba and installing the Mobutu military regime in Zaire at the very beginning of the decade was a sign of things to come.

The internal pressure came from the people who soon saw
that independence had brought no alleviation to their poverty and certainly no end to political repression. People saw in most of the new regimes dependence on foreigners, grand mismanagement and well-maintained police boots. To quote Fanon: "scandals are numerous, ministers grow rich, their wives doll themselves up, the members of Parliament feather their nests and there is not a soul down to the simple policeman or the customs officer who does not join in the great procession of corruption."

Some military intervened either at the promptings of the West or in response to what they genuinely saw and felt as the moral decay. But they too did not know what else to do with the state except to run the status quo with the gun held at the ready - not against imperialism - but against the very people the army had ostensibly stepped in to save.

Thus the sixties, the age of independence, became the era of coup-d'états whether Western-backed or in patriotic response to internal pressures. Zaire in 1960 and 1965; Nigeria and Ghana in 1966; Sierra Leone, Sudan, Mali, Uganda: all these and more fell to the armies and by 1970 virtually every independent state had experienced a measure of military coups, attempted coups or threats of coups. The result was often intraclass fratricide as in the case of Zaire and Nigeria but one that dragged the masses into meaningless deaths, starvation and stagnation. Wars initiated by Nyabaaras! The era of coups d'états also threw up two hideous monstrosities: Bokassa and Idi Amin, two initial darlings of the West, who were to make a total mockery of the notion of independence, but who also, in those very actions, made a truthful expression of that kind of independence. Hideous as they were, they were only symbols of all the broken promises of independence.

What was wrong with Africa? What had gone wrong? The
mood of disillusionment engulfed the writer and the literature of the period. It was Chinua Achebe in *A Man of the People* who correctly reflected the conditions that bred coups and rumours of coups.

The fictional narrator captures in the image of a house the deliberate murder of democracy by the new leadership:

*We had all been in the rain together until yesterday. Then a handful of us - the smart and the lucky and hardly ever the best - had scrambled for the one shelter our former rulers left, and had taken it over and barricaded themselves in. And from within they sought to persuade the rest through numerous loudspeakers, that the first phase of the struggle had been won and that the next phase - the extension of our house - was even more important and called for new and original tactics; it required that all argument should cease and the whole people speak with one voice and that any more dissent and argument outside the door of the shelter would subvert and break down the whole house.*

*A Man of the People*, coming out at about the same time as the first Nigerian military coup, had shown that a writer could be a prophet. But other writings - particularly Ayi Kwei Armah’s *The Beautiful Ones Are Not Yet Born*, and Okot p’Bitek’s *Song of Lawino* - were equally incisive in their horror at the moral decay in the new states. The writer responded to the decay by appealing to the conscience of the new class. If only they would listen! If only they would see the error of their ways! He pleaded, lamented, threatened, painted the picture of the disaster ahead, talked of a fire next time. He tried the corrective antidote of contemptuous laughter, ridicule, direct abuse with images of shit and urine, every filth imaginable. The writer often fell back
upon the kind of revenge Marx once saw the progressive elements among the feudal aristocracy taking against the new bourgeoisie that was becoming the dominant class in 19th Century Europe. They, the aristocracy, “took their revenge by singing lampoon on their new master, and whispering in his ears sinister prophecies of coming catastrophe.”

In this way arose feudal socialism; half lamentation, half lampoon; half echo of the past, half menace of the future; at times, by its bitter, witty and incisive criticism, striking the bourgeoisie to the very heart’s core but always ludicrous in its effect, through total incapacity to comprehend the march of history.

(The Communist Manifesto)

Thus the writer in this period was still limited by his inadequate grasp of the full dimension of what was really happening in the sixties: the international and national realignment of class forces and class alliances. What the writer often reacted to was the visible lack of moral fibre of the new leadership and not necessarily the structural basis of that lack of a national moral fibre. Sometimes the writer blamed the people - the recipients of crimes - as well as the perpetrators of the crimes against the people. At times the moral horror was couched in terms perilously close to blaming it all on the biological character of the people. Thus although the literature produced was incisive in its observation, it was nevertheless characterized by a sense of despair. The writer in this period often retreated into individualism, cynicism, or into empty moral appeals for a change of heart.
THE AGE OF NEOCOLONIALISM

It was the third period, the seventies, that was to reveal what really had been happening in the sixties: the transition of imperialism from the colonial to the neocolonial stage. On the international level, the US-engineered overthrow of the Allende regime in Chile showed the face of victorious neocolonialism. The decade saw the clear ascendancy of US-dominated transnational financial and industrial monopolies in most of Asia, Africa and Latin America. This ascendancy was to be symbolized by the dominance of the IMF and the World Bank in the determination of the economy and hence the politics and culture of the affected countries in Asia, Africa and Latin America. The era saw USA surround Africa with military bases or with some kind of direct US military presence all the way from Morocco via Diego Garcia to Kenya, Egypt and of course the Mediterranean Sea. The aims of the Rapid Deployment Forces formed in the same decade were unashamedly stated as interventionist in third world affairs - i.e. in affairs of the neo-colonies. Indeed, the decade saw an increasing readiness of former colonial powers to militarily enter Africa without even a trace of shame. The increasingly open, naked financial, industrial (e.g. Free Trade Zones etc), military and political interference of Western interests in the affairs of African countries with the active cooperation of the ruling regimes in the same countries, showed quite clearly that the so-called independence had only opened each of the African countries to wider imperialist interests. Dependence abroad, repression at home, became the national motto.

But if the seventies revealed more clearly the neocolonial character of many of the African countries, the seventies also saw very important and eye-opening gains by the anti-imperialist struggles. Internationally (outside Africa), the
single most important event was the defeat of the USA in Vietnam. But there were other shattering blows against neocolonialism: Nicaragua and Iran, for instance.

In Africa, the seventies saw a victorious resurgence of anti-imperialism. The armed struggles in Angola, Mozambique, Guinea-Bissau and Zimbabwe had clearly gained from errors of the earlier anticolonial movements in the fifties. They could see the enemy much more clearly and they could clearly analyze their struggles in terms that went beyond just the question of colour and race. Their enemy was imperialism and the classes that allied with imperialism. Within the independent African countries, coup d'états began to take on a more anti-imperialist and anti-neocolonial character.

Although occurring in 1981 and 1983 respectively the Rawling’s coup in Ghana and Sankara’s in Burkina Faso (previously Upper Volta) are the better examples of this tendency. But a more telling symbol was the emergence in the seventies of a people-based guerrilla movement fighting for a second independence. The armed liberation guerrilla movements in places like Uganda, Sudan and Zaire may well come to stand to neocolonialism what Kenya Land and Freedom Army and FLN in Algeria stood to colonialism in the fifties. The phenomenon of university educated youth and secondary school graduates opting to join workers and peasants in the bush to fight on a clear programme of a national democratic revolution as a first and necessary stage for a socialist transformation is something new in the Africa of the seventies. Whatever their ultimate destiny, these post-colonial guerrilla movements certainly symbolise the convergence of the worker’s hammer and the peasant’s machete or jembe with the pen and the gun.
The awakening to the realities of imperialism was reflected in some very important theoretical political breakthroughs in the works of Amilcar Cabral, Walter Rodney, Samir Amin, Dan Nabudere, Bala Mohamed, Nzongola-Ntalaja and in many papers emanating from university centres in many parts of the continent. Imperialism was becoming a subject of serious and even passionate academic debate and scholarly dissertations. The Dar es Salaam debate, now published as a book by Tanzania Publishing House under the title *debate on class, state & imperialism*, stands out. But other places like Ahmadu Bello University and Ife University in Nigeria; Nairobi University in Kenya; and the Universities of Cape Coast and Ghana were emerging as centres of progressive thought. But even outside the University campuses, progressive debate was raging and it is not an accident that the *Journal of African Marxists* should emerge in the seventies.

Once again this new anti-imperialist resurgence was reflected in literature. For the writer from Mozambique, Angola, Guinea Bissau, his content and imagery were clearly derived from the active struggles of the people. Even in the countries that became independent in the fifties and the sixties, the writer started taking a more and more critical stand against the antinational, antidemocratic, neocolonial character of the ruling regimes. He began to connect these ills not just to the moral failings or otherwise of this or that ruler, but to the perpetuation of imperialist domination through the comprador ruling classes in Africa.

The writer in the seventies gradually began to take imperialism seriously. He was also against the internal classes, those new companies of profiteers that allied with imperialism. But the writer tried to go beyond just explanation and condemnation. One can sense in some of the writing of this period an edging towards the people and a
search for new directions. The writer in the seventies was coming face to face with neocolonialism. He was really a writer in a neocolonial state. Further he was beginning to take sides with the people in the class struggle in Africa.

The writer who edged towards the people was caught in various contradictions. Where, for instance, did he stand in relation to the neocolonial state in which he was a citizen, and within which he was trying to function?

A neocolonial regime is, by its very character, a repressive machine. Its very being, in its refusal to break with the international and national structures of exploitation, inequality and oppression, gradually isolates it from the people. Its real power base resides not in the people but in imperialism and in the police and the army. To maintain itself it shuts all venues of democratic expression. It, for instance, resorts to one-party rule, and since in effect the party is just a bureaucratic shell, this means resorting to one man rule, despotism a la Marquez’s novel, The Autumn of the Patriarch! All democratic organizations are outlawed or else brought under the ruler, in which case they are emptied of any democratic life. Why then should the regime allow any democracy in the area of culture? Any democratic expression in the area of culture becomes a threat to such a regime’s very peculiar brand of culture: the culture of silence and fear run and directed from police cells and torture chambers.

NEOCOLONIALISM IN KENYA

The Kenya that emerged from the seventies is a good illustration of the workings of a neocolonial state. At the beginning of the decade Kenya was a fairly “open society” in the sense that Kenyans could still debate issues without fear of prison. But as the ruling party under Kenyatta and
later under Moi continued cementing the neocolonial links to the West, the Kenya regime became more and more intolerant of any views that questioned neocolonialism. In the fifties, Kenyans had fought to get rid of all foreign military presence from her soil. In 1980 the Kenyan authorities had given military base facilities to the USA. The matter was not even debated in Parliament. Kenyans learnt about it through debates in the US Congress. Now within the same decade which saw the Kenyan coast turned over for use by the US military machine, the Kenya regime had banned all centres of democratic debate. Even the University was not spared. University lecturers were imprisoned or detained without trial; among them were writers like Al Amin Mazrui and Edward Oyugi.

As we are talking today, another lecturer but also a writer and Kenya’s foremost national historian, Maina wa Kinyatti, is serving a six-year term in a maximum security prison for doing intensive work on Mau Mau. Maina wa Kinyatti was educated in Kenya and here in the United States of America. On returning to Kenya at the beginning of the seventies, he joined the History Department at Kenyatta University College. He became very concerned that ten years after the Kenya Land and Freedom Army had forced colonialism to retreat and allow Kenya a measure of self-rule and independence, no work had been done by Kenyan scholars on the actual history and literature of those who died that Kenya might be free. He set about collecting the songs and poems of the Mau Mau era, some of which he later edited into a book: Thunder From the Mountain: Mau Mau Patriotic Songs. He also started work on the whole anticolonial resistance within the context of the Kenyan history of struggle from 19th to 20th centuries. The result? He now languishes in jail, going blind. With him are two other writers: Wang’ondu wa Kariuki * and Otieno Mak’Onyango.

*He was released after serving his term in jail.
Over the same decade, the regime became very intolerant of theatre and any cultural expression that sided with the people. Kamiriithu Community Education and Cultural Centre’s Open Air Theatre was razed to the ground. A number of plays were stopped. Kenyan writers like Professor Micere Mugo, Ngugi wa Mirii, Kimani Gecau, were forced into exile. In February this year (1985), the regime climaxed its decade of intolerance by bludgeoning 12 students to death, and 150 others into hospital; 14 * into jail to join another 10 who have been serving long jail terms of up to 10 years since 1982. Five others, including Julius Mwandawiro, who have been tortured, are now awaiting trial for holding an interdenominational prayer meeting in day time on an open university sports ground.* *

THE WRITER IN A NEOCOLONIAL STATE

How does a writer function in such a society? He can of course adopt silence or selfcensorship, in which case he ceases to be an effective writer. Or he can become a state functionary, an option some Kenyan writers have now embraced, and once again cease to be an effective writer of the people. Or he may risk jail or exile, in which case he is driven from the very sources of his inspiration. Write and risk damnation. Avoid damnation and cease to be a writer. That is the lot of the writer in a neocolonial state.

There are other contradictions of a writer in a neocolonial state. For whom does he write? For the people? But then what language does he use? It is a fact that the African writers who emerged after the Second World War opted for European languages. All the major African writers wrote in English, French and Portuguese. But by and large, all the peasants and a majority of the workers - the masses - have their own languages.

* These have now been released after serving their 6 month term in jail.
* *They were subsequently sentenced from 6 to 12 months in jail.
Isn't the writer perpetuating, at the level of cultural practice, the very neocolonialism he is condemning at the level of economic and political practice? For whom a writer writes is a question which has not been satisfactorily resolved by the writers in a neocolonial state. For the African writer, the language he has chosen already has chosen his audience.

Whatever the language the writer has opted for, what is his relationship to the content? Does he see reality in its unchangingness or in its changingness? To see reality in stagnation or in circles of the same movements is to succumb to despair. And yet for him to depict reality in its revolutionary transformation from the standpoint of the people - the agents of change - is once again to risk damnation by the state. For a writer who is depicting reality in its revolutionary transformation is, in effect, telling the upholders of the status quo: even this too shall pass away.

I think I have said enough about the writer in the third period - the seventies - to show that his lot, particularly when he may want to edge towards the people, is not easy. But what are his choices, his options, as he faces the eighties?

THE WRITER IN THE 80's

In the world, the struggle between democratic and socialist forces for life and human progress on the one hand; and the imperialist forces for reaction and death on the other is still going on and it is bound to become more fierce. Imperialism is still the enemy of human kind and any blow against imperialism whether in the Philippines, El Salvador, Chile, South Korea is clearly a blow for democracy and change. In Africa, the struggle of Namibian people and of South African/Azanian people will intensify. And as the Zimbabwean, Angolan, and Mozambican struggles took
the African revolution a stage further than where it had been left by the FLN and the Kenya Land and Freedom Army in the fifties, in the same way the successful outcome of the Namibian and South African peoples' struggle will push the entire continent on to a new stage. In a special way, the liberation of South Africa is the key to the liberation of the entire continent from neocolonialism.

Within the neocolonial states, the anti-imperialist alliance of democratic forces will intensify the struggle against the rule of the alliance of the comprador classes and imperialism. There will be more and more anti-imperialist coups of the Rawlings and Sankara type. There will be an increase in the Uganda type anti-neocolonial guerrilla movements. There will be greater and greater call and demand for a pan-africanism of the proletariat and the peasantry through their progressive democratic organization. Each new stage in the struggle for real independence, democracy and socialism will have learnt from the errors of the previous attempts, successes and even failures. The main thing is that the eighties and nineties will see a heightening of the war against neocolonialism. For as in the days of colonialism, so now in the days of neocolonialism, the African people are still struggling for a world in which they can control that which their collective sweat produces, a world in which they will control the economy, politics and culture to make their lives accord with where they want to go and who they want to be.

But as the struggle continues and intensifies, the lot of the writer in a neocolonial state will become harder and not easier. His choice? It seems to me that the African writer of the eighties, the one who opts for becoming an integral part of the African revolution, has no choice but that of aligning himself with the people: their economic, political and cultural struggle for survival. In that situation, he will have
to confront the languages spoken by the people in whose service he has put his pen: Such a writer will have to rediscover the real languages of struggle in the actions and speeches of his people, learn from their great heritage of orature, and above all, learn from their great optimism and faith in the capacity of human beings to remake their world and renew themselves. He must be part of the song the people sing as once again they take up arms to smash the neocolonial state to complete the anti-imperialist national democratic revolution they had started in the fifties, and even earlier. A people united can never be defeated and the writer must be part and parcel of that revolutionary unity for democracy, socialism and the liberation of the human spirit to become even more human.

Author's note: The terms “he” and “his” as used above are not meant to denote the “maleness” of the person. It should be read to indicate an individual person, whether male or female.
OTHER TITLES FROM VITA BOOKS

KIMAAHTHI  Mau Mau’s First Prime Minister of Kenya
Shiraz Durrani

This is a new, positive interpretation of the Kenya Land and Freedom Army-Mau Mau-and the essential role it played in the struggle for the independence of Kenya from colonialism and neocolonialism. It looks at the publications of KLFA and examines the role of its leader, Kimathi, who has come to symbolize the anti-imperialist struggle not only in Africa but throughout the world where people are fighting imperialism.
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PIO GAMA PINTO
the Assassinated Hero of the Anti-Imperial Struggle in Kenya
Shiraz Durrani

“There is mourning in Kenya. One of the bravest of her sons is no more, one of the fighters who helped to win independence for Kenya lies buried under the soil he loved so dearly. Pio had spent many years in British prisons for the cause of Kenya’s emancipation. He died too for Africa: he was shot dead by agents of imperialism - the imperialism, to vanquish which Pio dedicated his entire life.” Thus wrote a contemporary journalist on the murder of Pio Gama Pinto on 24th February, 1965. This book examines the life and work of Pio Gama Pinto as seen through the eyes of those who worked with him and sets his achievements in their historical context.
To be published 1989/90  ISBN 1 869886 02 X

Thunder From The Mountains
Mau Mau Patriotic Songs  Maina wa Kinyatti

Thunder From Montains reproduces for the first time in English the actual songs sung by the Mau Mau in the villages and forests of Kenya as they fought against the British in the great rising of the 1950s. Here they express in their own words the themes that dominated their struggle - the demand for land, the call to arms, the heroism of battle, the horrors of imprisonment, the treachery of the collaborator and above all freeing of their lives from foreign economic and political control. This is people’s history at its most authentic - the hopes and perceptions of ordinary human beings as they struggle to take control of history and win their liberation.

ISBN 1 869886 06 2
Previously published by Zed Press under
ISBN 0 90576283 5
To be published 1989/90
ME KATILILI the Patriotic Anti-Colonial Freedom Fighter
Wangūi wa Goro

Feared and persecuted by the British, Me Katilili led the powerful 1912–1914 Girama Resistance Movement against British Colonial rule in Kenya. She organized and led the struggle of peasants for control over land, their basic resource. Me Katilili became one of the most important anti-colonial leaders active in Kenya and came to symbolize the progressive role of women in the anti-imperialist struggle.

To be published 1989/90

ISBN 1 869886 03 8

Publishing and Imperialism in Kenya
Shiraz Durrani

Publishing and Imperialism in Kenya is a study of the fierce struggle of two communication systems embodying two warring ideologies. The first one was settler-imperialist communication system. In opposition to this was the resistance communication system with its anti-imperialist ideology.

This book shows the vital importance of communication systems in people’s struggles and hence is of relevance to all anti-imperialist struggles in the Third World today.

To be published 1989/90

ISBN 1 869886 05 4

TUNAKATAAA! We Say No!
Kauli Raiya

Tunakataa! is a collection of poetry written by a group of Kenyans who remain anonymous. These poems in reality reflect the everyday reality of the lives of Kenyan people today. They clearly reflect the sufferings, the hopes, and the strength of the working class in Kenya today.

Here is the voice of the people saying ‘No’ to imperialism in its last but most vicious stage of neo-colonialism. In that sense, Tunakataa! is the voice of all people in the world struggling against imperialist exploitation.

ISBN 1 869886 04 6 in Kiswahili, with English translation
Joint publication with Red Sea Press, U.S.A.

To be published 1989/90

We publish progressive books on issues dealing with anti-imperialist struggles and with the establishment of just and democratic societies.

Vita Books, P.O. Box 168, Wembley, Middlesex, HA0 4BQ, U.K.
NGÕGI WA THIONG’O

Ngûgi wa Thiong’o has published many books, among them *Petals of Blood* and *Devil on the Cross* (Novels); *Barrel of a Pen* and *Decolonizing the Mind* (Essays); *Njamba Nene and the Flying Bus* and *Njamba Nene’s Pistol* (Children’s stories translated from the original Gikuyu by Wangûi wa Goro). He has recently finished a 20-minute feature film, *Bloodgrapes and Black Diamonds*, exposing the economic self-interests behind the forces in the West that oppose sanctions against the racist white minority regime in South Africa; and calling all democratic forces in the world to firmly unite behind the liberation movement in South Africa.

**Writing Against Neocolonialism**

In this essay, Ngûgi outlines the development of African literature since the 2nd World War against the background of world revolutions and of the continuing anti-imperialist struggles in Africa today. It clearly connects the major intellectual movements in Africa to the political currents in Africa and the world. The essay ranges widely from revolutions in China and Vietnam to those in Cuba and Nicaragua; from struggles in South Korea and Philippines to those in El Salvador and Chile. In Africa, it ranges from Nasser’s nationalization of Suez Canal, the armed struggles in Kenya and Algeria in the 50’s to the struggles of the liberation movements in South Africa today. “In a special way,” writes Ngûgi looking forward to the 80’s and 90’s, “the liberation of South Africa is a key to the liberation of the entire continent from neocolonialism.” The essay is informed through and through with a spirit of internationalism and Pan Africanism of the workers and peasants. It calls upon writers and intellectuals to be an integral part of the revolutionary struggle of the masses for democracy and socialism.